REMARKS

Claims 3-6, 8, 10, 11, 13-16, 18 and 19 are pending in the application. Claims 18 and 19 were allowed. Claim 5 has been amended to require the presence of inorganic resinates in the composition. Claim 19 has been amended to remove an extraneous "an" from part (d).

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC 103(a)

Claims 4-5, 8, 10-11 and 13-16 were rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Voeght (7,141,104) as evidenced by Shimura et al. (4,492,056) and Roth et al. (5,899,084) in view of Hirasa et al. (2003/0166742) and EP 1223201.

The Examiner had indicated in the previous Non-Final Office Action dated 12/11/2008 that Claims 5-6 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. As stated in the Non-Final Office Action dated 4/17/2008 the rejections as previously and currently applied still stand in view that the composition could have no inorganic resinate. Claim 5 has now been amended to require the presence of inorganic resinates in the composition. Therefore, applicants believe that claim 5 is once more in allowable form. Claim 6 was objected to although no reason was provided. In view of the amendment to claim 5, claim 6 would appear to be allowable also.

All of the arguments previously presented by applicant are incorporated herein by reference, particularly those regarding the above rejection..

In view of the amendment made herein, allowance of Claim 3-6, 8, 10-11 and 13-16 is respectfully solicited. Claims 18 and 19 have been allowed.

If anything further is needed to advance the allowance of this application, the Examiner is urged to contact applicant's attorney at the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Barbara C. Siegell/

BARBARA C. SIEGELL
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No.: 30,684
Telephone: (302) 992-4931
Facsimile: (302) 992-537

Date: May 26, 2009